

**Claims**

1. A method of fragile watermarking a digital image,  
including the steps of extracting from the image a portion  
5 thereof  $A$  and generating at least a first ill-conditioned  
operator related to values extracted from the portion  $A$ .
2. A method of fragile watermarking according to claim 1  
wherein the ill-conditioned operator is generated by  
10 altering a value to increase the operator's condition  
number.
3. A method of fragile watermarking according to claim 1  
or 2, comprising the step of replacing a non-zero singular  
15 value of a singular value matrix  $S_A$  of an image or portion  
thereof  $A$ , with a solution to a linear equation comprising  
the ill-conditioned operator.
4. A method of fragile watermarking according to claim 3,  
20 wherein the non-zero singular value to be replaced is the  
smallest non-zero singular value  $S_r(A)$  in a singular value  
matrix  $S_A$  of rank  $r$ .
5. A method of fragile watermarking according to any one  
25 of the preceding claims, wherein a non-zero singular value  
of a singular value matrix  $S_W$  of a watermark pattern or  
portion thereof  $W$  is replaced, such that said replacement  
increases the condition number of the singular value matrix  
 $S_W$  of the watermark pattern or portion thereof  $W$ .  
30
6. A method of fragile watermarking according to claim 5,  
wherein the non-zero singular value to be replaced is the  
smallest non-zero singular value  $S_t(W)$  in a singular value  
matrix  $S_W$  of rank  $t$ .

7. A method of fragile watermarking according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein the step of calculating a replacement non-zero singular value of singular value matrix  $S_w$  of a watermark or portion thereof  $W$  comprises calculating substantially the following equation part:

$$s_t(W) = \varepsilon,$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is a small positive real number that increases the condition number of the singular value matrix  $S_w$ .

10

8. A method of fragile watermarking according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein the step of generating at least a first ill-conditioned operator comprises calculating substantially the following equation part:

15

$$B = \hat{A}\hat{W},$$

where  $\hat{W}$  is substantially constructed according to  $\hat{W} = U_w \hat{S}_w V_w^T$ ,  $\hat{S}_w$  comprising at least one altered singular value  $s_t(W) = \varepsilon$ , and such that  $B$  forms a parametric family of matrices  $B(\hat{S}_r) = \hat{A}(\hat{S}_r)\hat{W}$  for possible values of  $\hat{S}_r(A)$ .

20

9. A method of fragile watermarking according to claim 8, wherein  $s_r(A)$  is determined by an  $L_2$ -norm solution of the

least squares problem  $\min_{x \in \mathfrak{R}^p} \|Bx - b\|_2^2$  to equal the square

of a predefined key  $N$  of predetermined value, where  $b$  is an arbitrary vector.

25

10. A method of fragile watermarking according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein the step of calculating the replacement non-zero singular value of singular value matrix  $A$  comprises calculating substantially the following equation part:

30

$$\min_{\hat{s}_r(A)} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^q \left( u_{B_i}^T b / s_i(B(\hat{s}_r)) \right)^2 - N^2 \right\},$$

where  $u_{B_i}$  is the  $i$ -th column of the matrix formed with the right singular vectors of  $B$ .

- 5 11. A method of fragile watermarking according to claim 10, wherein  $\hat{s}_r(A)$  further satisfies

$\hat{s}_r(A) = \overline{s}_r(A) \in [\max(\text{eps}, s_r(A) - \delta), s_r(A) + \delta] = [H_0, H_1]$ , where  $\delta$  is a distortion control and eps is machine precision, such that the step of calculating the replacement non-zero

- 10 singular value comprises calculating substantially the following equation part:

$$\min_{\hat{s}_r \in [H_0, H_1]} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^q \left( u_{B_i}^T b / s_i(B(\hat{s}_r)) \right)^2 - N^2 \right\},$$

with all terms as defined herein.

- 15 12. A method of fragile watermarking according to any one of claims 9 to 11, wherein vector  $b$  is related to at least a first parameter derived from a portion of an image  $I$  other than  $A$ .

- 20 13. A method of fragile watermarking according to claim 12, wherein for a sequential watermarking process comprising the watermarking of portion  $A^{(k)}$  after the watermarking of portion  $A^{(k-1)}$ ,  $k=1, \dots, L$  of  $L$  portions, then the step of calculating  $b^{(k)}$  for portion  $A^{(k)}$  comprises
- 25 calculating substantially the following equation part:

$$b^{(k)} = \begin{cases} A^{(k)} Z^{(k)} & \text{for } k = 1 \\ A^{(k-1)} Z^{(k)} & \text{else} \end{cases},$$

where  $Z^{(k)}$  is a pseudo-random binary vector.

14. A method of fragile watermarking according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein the step of calculating the watermarked image or portion thereof  $\hat{A}$  comprises calculating substantially the following equation part:

$$5 \quad \hat{A} = U_A \hat{S}_A V_A^T$$

where  $\hat{S}_A$  comprises at least one replaced singular value,  $U_A$  and  $V_A$  being left and right singular matrices.

15. A method of fragile watermarking according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein a watermark pattern or portion thereof  $W$  is generated by a pseudo-random generator seeded by a key  $K$  of predetermined value.

16. A method of fragile watermarking according to claim 15, wherein the values of key  $K$  and key  $N$  are related.

17. A method of fragile watermarking according to either one of claims 15 and 16, wherein the a watermark pattern or portion thereof  $W$  is generated by a pseudo-random generator seeded by a key  $K$  of predetermined value, combined with either a single or repeated instance of a logo.

18. A method of fragile watermarking according to any one of the preceding claims, comprising the following steps;

25     i. generating a  $K$ -dependent watermark pattern  $W$  from  $\Omega$ , or recalling a pre-existing one;

          ii. constructing a parametric family of matrices  $B(\hat{S}_r)$ ;

          iii. estimating a unique parameter  $\bar{S}_r(A)$ , that minimizes the expression

$$30 \quad \min_{\hat{S}_r} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^q \left( u_{B_i}^T b / s_i(B(\hat{S}_r)) \right)^2 - N^2 \right\}; \text{ and}$$

iv. estimating the watermarked block  $\hat{A} = U_A \hat{S}_A V_A^T$  by  
 setting  $\hat{S} = \text{diag}(s_1(A), \dots, s_{r-1}(A), \bar{s}_r(A))$ .

19. A method of fragile watermarking according to any one  
 5 of claims 1 to 17, comprising the following steps;

- i. generating a  $K$ -dependent watermark pattern  $W$  from  
 $\Omega$ , or recalling a pre-existing one;
- ii. constructing a parametric family of matrices  $B(\hat{S}_r)$ ;
- iii. estimating a unique parameter

10  $\bar{s}_r(A) \in [\max(\epsilon_p, s_r(A) - \delta), s_r(A) + \delta] = [H_0, H_1]$ , that  
 minimizes the expression:

$$\min_{\hat{S}_r \in [H_0, H_1]} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^q \left( u_{\theta_i}^T b / s_i(B(\hat{S}_r)) \right)^2 - N^2 \right\}; \text{ and}$$

iv. estimating the watermarked block  $\hat{A} = U_A \hat{S}_A V_A^T$  by  
 setting  $\hat{S} = \text{diag}(s_1(A), \dots, s_{r-1}(A), \bar{s}_r(A))$ .

15

20. A method of verifying a fragile watermark,  
 characterised by the step of generating at least a first  
 ill-conditioned operator by altering a value to increase  
 its condition number, said ill-conditioned operator being  
 20 related to values extracted from a received image or  
 portion thereof  $A^*$ .

21. A method of verifying a fragile watermark according to  
 claim 20, characterised by the step of calculating a

25 solution to the least squares problem  $\min_{x \in \mathfrak{R}^p} \|B'x - b\|_2^2$  where  
 $B' = A' \hat{W}$ .

22. A method of verifying a fragile watermark according to  
 either one of claims 20 and 21, wherein a positive square-

root  $N^*$  of the  $L_2$ -norm solution of the least squares

problem  $\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^p} \|B^*x - b\|_2^2$  is compared with key  $N$ ; and

the received image or portion thereof  $A^*$  comprising  
the fragile watermark is declared authentic if  $|N^* - N| \leq \tau$ ,

5 where  $\tau$  is a threshold value.

23. A method of verifying a fragile watermark according to  
any one of claims 20 to 22, wherein the step of calculating  
value  $N^*$  comprises calculating substantially the following

10 equation part:

$$(N^*)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( u_{n_i}^T b / s_i(B^*) \right)^2;$$

$N^*$  is compared with key  $N$ ; and

the received image or portion thereof  $A^*$  comprising  
the fragile watermark is declared authentic if  $|N^* - N| \leq \tau$ ,

15 where  $\tau$  is a threshold value.

24. Apparatus for fragile watermarking of an image in  
accordance with a method of any one of claims 1 to 19,  
comprising generating means for generating at least a first  
20 ill-conditioned operator, said ill-conditioned operator  
being related to values extracted from an image or portion  
thereof  $A$ .

25. Apparatus for validating a fragile watermarked image  
25 in accordance with a method of any one of claims 20 to 23,  
and comprising;

generating means for generating at least a first ill-  
conditioned operator by altering a value to increase its  
condition number, said ill-conditioned operator being

related to values extracted from a received image or portion thereof A'.